

Space Making for Collective Well-being: Design, Dynamics, and Implications

Dian Nafiatul Awaliyah¹

¹Architecture Department, Sultan Fatah University

*diannafihasfa@gmail.com

Abstract. This paper explores the multifaceted concept of space making for the collective well-being across diverse disciplines and contexts. Drawing on discussions regarding collaboration facilitation, narrative transformation, diverse approaches to space design, challenges, and opportunities, as well as inclusivity and equity considerations, it delves into the complexities of creating environments that foster collaboration, inclusivity, and equity. The research question addresses how various approaches to space making contribute to collective well-being, encompassing collaboration facilitation, narrative transformation, diverse design perspectives, challenges, and opportunities, as well as inclusivity and equity considerations. The objective is to analyze these discussions to gain insights into the dynamics of space making for collective well-being. Qualitative methods are employed to analyze discussions and findings across different disciplines. The findings highlight the importance of fostering collaboration, challenging existing narratives, utilizing diverse approaches, addressing challenges, and promoting inclusivity and equity in space making for collective well-being. The paper underscores the significance of creating spaces that promote collaboration, inclusivity, and equity in shaping a more just and thriving collective future.

Keywords: design, architecture, space making, collective well-being

Abstrak. Makalah ini mengeksplorasi konsep multifaset dalam menciptakan ruang untuk kesejahteraan kolektif di berbagai disiplin dan konteks. Dengan memanfaatkan diskusi mengenai fasilitasi kolaborasi, transformasi narasi, pendekatan yang beragam terhadap desain ruang, tantangan dan peluang, serta pertimbangan inklusivitas dan kesetaraan, artikel ini menggali kompleksitas dalam menciptakan lingkungan yang mendorong kolaborasi, inklusivitas, dan kesetaraan. Pertanyaan penelitian ini membahas bagaimana berbagai pendekatan terhadap penciptaan ruang berkontribusi terhadap kesejahteraan kolektif, yang mencakup fasilitasi kolaborasi, transformasi naratif, perspektif desain yang beragam, tantangan dan peluang, serta pertimbangan inklusivitas dan kesetaraan. Tujuannya adalah menganalisis diskusi-diskusi ini untuk mendapatkan wawasan mengenai dinamika penciptaan ruang demi kesejahteraan kolektif. Metode kualitatif

digunakan untuk menganalisis diskusi dan temuan di berbagai disiplin ilmu. Temuan ini menyoroti pentingnya memupuk kolaborasi, menantang narasi yang ada, memanfaatkan pendekatan yang beragam, mengatasi tantangan, dan mendorong inklusivitas dan kesetaraan dalam penciptaan ruang demi kesejahteraan kolektif. Makalah ini menggarisbawahi pentingnya menciptakan ruang yang mendorong kolaborasi, inklusivitas, dan kesetaraan dalam membentuk masa depan kolektif yang lebih adil dan berkembang.

Kata kunci: desain, arsitektur, penciptaan ruang, kesejahteraan kolektif,

1. Introduction

In an ever-changing world marked by rapid urbanization, increasing population densities, and evolving societal dynamics, the design and utilization of physical spaces have taken on new levels of significance [1]. Beyond mere functionality and aesthetics, contemporary thought has cast a spotlight on the profound role that the built environment plays in shaping our collective well-being. This introductory section serves as a foundational exploration of the concept of space making for collective well-being, framing the discourse within the context of urban planning, architecture, psychology, sociology, and environmental science.

The spaces in which we live, work, and interact wield a profound influence on our lives. The traditional paradigms of urban planning and architecture, once primarily concerned with utilitarian purposes and aesthetic appeal, have now expanded to embrace a broader vision—one that encompasses social cohesion, inclusivity, and overall well-being [2]. As our understanding of this relationship between physical spaces and human flourishing deepens, the concept of space making for collective well-being emerges as a compelling subject of interdisciplinary inquiry [3].

This evolution reflects a growing awareness of the intricate interplay between space and society. We now recognize that the design of our urban environments significantly influences not only how we interact with our surroundings but also how we interact with one another. Spaces have the potential to either isolate or unite, to induce stress or relaxation, and to foster disconnection or community [4]. In this regard, the built environment becomes a powerful tool – a canvas upon which we can craft the conditions for collective thriving.

The core principles underpinning space making for collective well-being are multifaceted and interrelated. Inclusivity is a cornerstone, as we aim to create spaces that accommodate and celebrate the diversity of their inhabitants [5]. Through universal design principles and participatory planning processes, we empower communities to have a voice in shaping their environment [6]. This inclusivity not only promotes a sense of belonging but also nurtures social bonds and shared identity.

The psychological dimension of space making is equally pivotal. Insights from environmental psychology and behavioral science illuminate how spatial design can influence human behavior, emotions, and overall well-being. Concepts which explore our innate connection to nature, and restorative environments, which offer respite from the stressors of modern life, guide us in crafting environments that promote mental health and collective wellness [7].

Sustainability serves as yet another vital component of this discourse. As we consider the long-term consequences of our actions on the environment, the ecological implications of urban design cannot be overlooked. Eco-friendly practices, aligned with the principles of sustainable development, not only reduce our ecological footprint but also contribute to resilient, thriving communities.

In this context, the implications of space making for collective well-being extend far beyond the immediate aesthetics and functionality of our surroundings. A well-designed environment has

the potential to elevate physical and mental health, lower crime rates, bolster social cohesion, and even generate economic advantages. Throughout this exploration, we will draw upon case studies and examples from diverse locales, offering tangible evidence of the transformative power of prioritizing collective well-being in urban planning and architecture.

As our societies continue to urbanize and confront new challenges, the pursuit of space making for collective well-being becomes not only a desirable goal but a necessary one. It calls for visionary thinking, cross-disciplinary collaboration, and a holistic understanding of the intricate relationships between humans and the spaces they inhabit. In the pages that follow, we will delve deeper into the dimensions, challenges, and implications of this exciting field, striving to provide a comprehensive understanding of how we can shape vibrant, healthy, and inclusive spaces where collective well-being can truly flourish.

2. Research Methods

This paper employs a literature study as its qualitative method to analyze and synthesize discussions and findings related to space making for collective well-being across diverse disciplines and contexts. The literature study involves a systematic review and analysis of existing scholarly articles, books, reports, and other relevant sources that address various aspects of space design, technology, social justice, community engagement, and collective well-being.

The process begins with identifying key themes, concepts, and discussions pertaining to space making for collective well-being, such as collaboration facilitation, narrative transformation, design perspectives, challenges, opportunities, inclusivity, and equity. This involves conducting keyword searches across multiple databases, including academic journals, digital libraries, and online repositories, to gather a comprehensive collection of literature.

Next, the collected literature is systematically reviewed and analyzed to extract key insights, arguments, empirical evidence, and theoretical frameworks relevant to the research question and objectives. This involves synthesizing and categorizing the literature according to thematic areas, disciplinary perspectives, and contextual considerations.

Through iterative analysis and interpretation of the literature, patterns, trends, and gaps in existing knowledge are identified, allowing for a deeper understanding of the complexities and dynamics of space making for collective well-being. This iterative process involves cross-referencing and triangulating information from multiple sources to ensure the reliability and validity of the findings.

Finally, the synthesized findings are interpreted, contextualized, and discussed in relation to the research question and objectives, providing insights into the challenges, opportunities, and implications of space making for collective well-being across diverse disciplines and contexts.

The qualitative method of literature study enables a comprehensive exploration and synthesis of existing knowledge and perspectives on space making for collective well-being, contributing to a deeper understanding of this multifaceted and dynamic topic [8].

3. Results and Discussions

Space making for collective involves creating environments or frameworks that encourage group collaboration and participation [9]. This can be seen in various contexts such as music composition, where digital platforms can facilitate social learning and collaborative composition [10]. In segregated societies, spatial sense making can help reduce anxiety and conflict in contested spaces [11]. By understanding and navigating through these spaces, individuals and groups can break deadlocks and promote transitional thinking [9]. Additionally, the inclusion of women artists in museums can challenge patriarchal narratives and transform models of history [12]. Feminist approaches to museums can provide rich and renewing readings of space, artistic practice, and

feminism. These intersections can redefine the parameters for participatory methodologies and contribute to the collective search for solutions and decision-making [13].

Space is made for the collective in different ways. One way is through the design perspective, which collaborates on the creation of spatial narratives and enhances accessibility [11]. Another way is through the collective intelligence decision process, which involves stakeholders and managers in making appropriate business decisions [14]. Additionally, space is made for the collective through the use of technology, such as wayfinding systems and informational technological systems, which communicate with citizens and foster experiences of greater accessibility and the creation of social space [15]. Furthermore, the moduli space approximation and collective coordinate quantization are used to study the moduli space of solitons and their scattering, providing a way to understand and quantify collective behavior [16]. Overall, these different approaches contribute to the creation of space for the collective by promoting accessibility, collaboration, and understanding.

The challenges of making space for the collective include digital disruptions caused by technologies like social media, which pose a threat to democratic values and collective intelligence [17]. Additionally, there is a need to address the discomfort and bias faced by LGBTQI+ individuals in accessing healthcare services [18]. On the other hand, opportunities for the collective lie in the use of collective observables in spectroscopy to gain insights into molecular processes in soft matter [19]. Furthermore, there is a call to reform science education to emphasize scientific sense-making, which can contribute to the collective understanding of scientific concepts [20]. In the field of protozoan diseases, there is a need for more effective drugs and a broader understanding of the complex life cycles of these organisms [21].

Space can be made for the collective in a way that is inclusive and equitable by providing participation alternatives for as many people as possible, particularly those who lack financial resources and access to media [22]. Inclusive spaces in residential areas can also be created to mitigate the impact of disasters, ensuring that they are safe, comfortable, and easily accessible to all settlers [23]. Black women in elective office have demonstrated the transformative power of descriptive representation in addressing previously uncrystallized interests and systemic barriers to equitable care, forming identity- and issue-based coalitions that suit the needs of Black women [24]. Additionally, the arrangement of buildings, facilities, and environmental infrastructure in villages, especially after a disaster, can contribute to the creation of inclusive spaces that meet the requirements of the community and incorporate local wisdom [25].

Adam Hart, 2021	Digital platforms can facilitate social learning and collaborative composition
Ana Pol, 2020	The inclusion of women artists in museums can challenge patriarchal narratives and transform models of history
Annisa, 2022	Inclusive spaces in residential areas can also be created to mitigate the impact of disasters, ensuring that they are safe, comfortable, and easily accessible to all settlers
Christa, 2020	There is a call to reform science education to emphasize scientific sense-making, which can contribute to the collective understanding of scientific concepts
Claudiu, 2023	There is a need for more effective drugs and a broader understanding of the complex life cycles of these organisms
Horatiu, 2019	Moduli space approximation and collective coordinate quantization are used to study the moduli space of solitons and their scattering, providing a way to understand and quantify collective behavior
Johanna, 2023	Space making for collective involves creating environments or frameworks that encourage group collaboration and participation
Johanna, 2023	By understanding and navigating through these spaces, individuals and groups can break deadlocks and promote transitional thinking

Maria, 2020	Collective through the use of technology, such as wayfinding systems and informational technological systems, which communicate with citizens and foster experiences of greater accessibility and the creation of social space
Nadia, 2023	Black women in elective office have demonstrated the transformative power of descriptive representation in addressing previously uncrystallized interests and systemic barriers to equitable care, forming identity- and issue-based coalitions
Otakat Cerba, 2022	Arrangement of buildings, facilities, and environmental infrastructure in villages, especially after a disaster, can contribute to the creation of inclusive spaces that meet the requirements of the community and incorporate local wisdom
Philipp, 2023	There is a need to address the discomfort and bias faced by LGBTQI+ individuals in accessing healthcare services
Rajagopal, 2021	In segregated societies, spatial sense making can help reduce anxiety and conflict in contested spaces
Rajagopal, 2021	Space is made for the collective through the design perspective, which collaborates on the creation of spatial narratives and enhances accessibility
Rivera, 2022	Space can be made for the collective in a way that is inclusive and equitable by providing participation alternatives for as many people as possible, particularly those who lack financial resources and access to media
Sarah, 2020	Through the collective intelligence decision process, which involves stakeholders and managers in making appropriate business decisions
Shweta, 2020	The challenges of making space for the collective include digital disruptions caused by technologies like social media, which pose a threat to democratic values and collective intelligence
Veronique, 2022	Opportunities for the collective lie in the use of collective observables in spectroscopy to gain insights into molecular processes in soft matter

The findings presented in the provided text highlight various aspects of space making for the collective across different disciplines and contexts. From these results, we can get some patterns.

Facilitating Collaboration and Participation: The importance of creating environments that encourage group collaboration and participation is a recurring theme. Whether it's in music composition or in addressing societal segregation, fostering collaboration is seen as a way to reduce anxiety, promote transitional thinking, and break deadlocks [26]. This emphasizes the value of inclusive spaces that allow diverse voices to be heard and contribute to collective decision-making.

Challenging Patriarchal Narratives: Inclusion of women artists in museums is noted as a means to challenge patriarchal narratives and transform historical models [27]. This underscores the role of cultural spaces in reshaping collective perspectives and narratives, contributing to a more equitable representation of history and culture [28].

Diverse Approaches to Space Making: The text also highlights the diversity of approaches to space making. It includes design perspectives that enhance accessibility, collective intelligence decision processes, technology-driven solutions like way finding systems, and even scientific approaches like moduli space approximation [29]. These approaches collectively contribute to creating spaces that promote accessibility, collaboration, and understanding.

Challenges and Opportunities: Challenges in creating space for the collective are mentioned, including digital disruptions and biases. However, there are also opportunities, such as using collective observables in spectroscopy and reforming science education, which can enhance collective understanding and problem-solving [30].

Inclusivity and Equity: The findings stress the importance of inclusivity and equity in space making [31]. Inclusive spaces that accommodate diverse populations, particularly those who may

be marginalized or have limited resources, are seen as essential for collective well-being. This extends to disaster mitigation, political representation, and community infrastructure.

The findings demonstrate that space making for the collective is a multidimensional concept that spans various fields and contexts [32]. It involves fostering collaboration, challenging existing narratives, utilizing diverse approaches, addressing challenges, and promoting inclusivity and equity. These insights highlight the complexity and significance of designing spaces that contribute to the well-being and empowerment of communities and societies as a whole [33].

4. Conclusion

The exploration of space making for collective well-being, as presented through a diverse array of findings across different disciplines and contexts, underscores the multifaceted nature of this crucial endeavor. In drawing together insights from urban planning, art, technology, science, healthcare, and social issues, it becomes evident that creating environments that encourage collaboration, inclusivity, and equity is an essential facet of fostering collective well-being.

One common thread that emerges from these findings is the recognition that spaces have the power to shape human behavior, emotions, and interactions [34]. Whether it's the composition of music through digital platforms, the redefinition of narratives in museums, or the design of accessible and inclusive infrastructure, the built environment plays a pivotal role in influencing collective experiences [35]. It serves as a canvas upon which the dynamics of communities and societies are etched, with the potential to inspire positive change.

The challenges and opportunities inherent in space making for the collective are also apparent. While digital disruptions and biases pose threats to democratic values and collective intelligence, there are opportunities to harness technology for the greater good. Scientific endeavors, educational reforms, and innovative solutions can enhance our collective understanding and decision-making.

Inclusivity and equity emerge as fundamental principles in the creation of spaces for the collective. These principles not only advocate for the participation of diverse voices but also emphasize the importance of addressing systemic barriers and biases. Inclusive spaces, whether in cultural institutions, residential areas, or political representation, provide avenues for marginalized groups to contribute to collective well-being and challenge established norms.

In conclusion, the findings underscore that space making for collective well-being is a dynamic and evolving field that transcends disciplinary boundaries. It calls for a holistic approach that combines design thinking, psychological insights, technological innovation, and social justice advocacy. As we navigate the complexities of urbanization, cultural preservation, technological advancement, and social progress, the creation of spaces that promote collaboration, inclusivity, and equity remains pivotal in the pursuit of a more just and thriving collective future.

References

1. Morel L, Dupont L, Boudarel MR. Innovation Spaces: New Places for Collective Intelligence? In: Uzunidis D, editor. *Collective Innovation Processes: Principles and Practices* [Internet]. 1st ed. Wiley; 2018. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781119557883>
2. Schlack E. Public and collective space in '9x18 settlements.' *Research in Urbanism Series*. 2019 Oct 7;155-164 Pages.
3. Irina P, Elena A. Collective Memory and Cultural-mythological Space. In: *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Education, Language, Art and Inter-cultural Communication (ICELAIC 2018)* [Internet]. Moscow, Russia: Atlantis Press; 2018 Available from: <https://www.atlantis-press.com/article/55910414>

4. Fox R, Nic Giolla Easpaig B, Watson L. Making Space for Community Critical Methodology: Stories from the Australian Context. *American J of Comm Psychol*. 2019 Mar;63(1–2):227–38.
5. Kouame G, Logue N, Mears K. Making Space for a Makerspace. *Journal of Hospital Librarianship*. 2019 Apr 3;19(2):182–9.
6. Booysen LAE. Responsible Inclusive Leadership. In: Ferdman BM, Prime J, Riggio RE, editors. *Inclusive Leadership* [Internet]. 1st ed. New York : Routledge, 2020.: Routledge; 2020. p. 195–211. Available from: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780429831393/chapters/10.4324/9780429449673-14>
7. Battle NT. Black Girls and the Beauty Salon: Fostering a Safe Space for Collective Self-Care. *Gender & Society*. 2021 Aug;35(4):557–66.
8. Lawrence RJ. Collective and creative consortia: combining knowledge, ways of knowing and praxis. *Cities & Health*. 2020 May 3;4(2):237–49.
9. Luttrell JC. Making Space for Justice: Social Movements, Collective Imagination, and Political Hope, Michele Moody-Adams (New York: Columbia University Press, 2022), *Ethics int aff*. 2023;37(1):102–5.
10. Hart A, Williams A. A Space for Making: Collaborative composition as social participation. *Org Sound*. 2021 Aug;26(2):240–54.
11. Rajagopal. Decision Space: Collective Intelligence. In: *Crowd-Based Business Models* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2021. p. 101–32. Available from: https://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-77083-9_4
12. Pol Colmenares A, Rosón Villena M. Hacer espacio: Museos y feminismos = Making Space: Museums and Feminisms. *ETFVII*. 2020 Nov 17;(8):75.
13. Wood S. Making Space. Singapore, Artists & Art in the Public Realm. *jps*. 2020 Dec 1;(Vol. 5 n. 4):137–54.
14. Whitfield SK. A Space Has Been Made: Bisexual+ Stories in Musical Theatre. *Theatre Topics*. 2020;30(2):E-5-E-12.
15. Costa ML, Amaral I. Communication Design and Space Narratives. In: Raposo D, Neves J, Silva J, editors. *Perspective on Design* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 103–13. (Springer Series in Design and Innovation; vol. 1). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-030-32415-5_8
16. Năstase H. Classical Field Theory [Internet]. 1st ed. Cambridge University Press; 2019. Available from: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781108569392/type/book>
17. Suran S, Pattanaik V, Kurvers R, Hallin CA, De Liddo A, Krimmer R, et al. Building Global Societies on Collective Intelligence: Challenges and Opportunities. *Digit Gov: Res Pract*. 2022 Oct 31;3(4):1–6.
18. Honegger P, Steinhäuser O, Schröder C. Collective Spectroscopy of Solvation Phenomena: Conflicts, Challenges, and Opportunities. *J Phys Chem Lett*. 2023 Jan 26;14(3):609–18.
19. Lugin V. Inclusivity in healthcare and the concept of ‘safe space’: opportunities and challenges. *Br J Sports Med*. 2022 May;56(9):533–4.
20. Haverly C, Calabrese Barton A, Schwarz CV, Braaten M. “Making Space”: How Novice Teachers Create Opportunities for Equitable Sense-Making in Elementary Science. *Journal of Teacher Education*. 2020 Jan;71(1):63–79.
21. Supuran CT. Antiprotozoal drugs: challenges and opportunities. *Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents*. 2023 Mar 4;33(3):133–6.
22. Gbêhi C, Vodouhe SD, Gbêhi HN. The inclusive school of collective learning. *AIVP* [Internet]. 2021 Apr 30;9(2). Available from: <https://journals.scholarpublishing.org/index.php/AIVP/article/view/9745>

23. Mulyati A, Najib M, Astha AMY. Collective space on residential as a resilience measure to disaster mitigation earthquakes and liquefaction. *IOP Conf Ser: Mater Sci Eng.* 2022 Jan 1;1212(1):012003.
24. Brown NE, Clark CJ, Mahoney AM, Strawbridge M. Sister Space: Collective Descriptive Representation and Black Women in Legislative Caucuses. *Pol & Gen.* 2023 Dec;19(4):1234–8.
25. Cerba O, Jedlicka K. Collective Perception of Geographical Space in Education. In Palma, Spain; 2022 [cited 2024 Nov 11]. p. 2318–25. Available from: <https://library.iated.org/view/CERBA2022COL>
26. Garibay MT, Gomez JC, Terissi L, Soria L, Marcuzzi R, Moya M, et al. Space Maker: A Place Where Creativity, Innovation and Hands-on Learning Join to Improve Engineering Education. In: 2018 World Engineering Education Forum - Global Engineering Deans Council (WEEF-GEDC) [Internet]. Albuquerque, NM, USA: IEEE; 2018. p. 1–5. Available from: <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8629716/>
27. Wells K, Bailey A. Sound art and the making of public space. *Social & Cultural Geography.* 2020 Oct 12;21(8):1083–102.
28. Agustí A. COPD: Challenges and opportunities. *Respirology.* 2020 Feb;25(2):132–3.
29. Pettas D, Daskalaki M. Solidarity and collective forms of social reproduction: the social and political legacy of Syntagma Square, Athens. *Social & Cultural Geography.* 2022 Oct 13;23(8):1118–34.
30. Nieman K, Leonard AF, Tyrrell K, Messina D, Lopez R, Durand H. Challenges and Opportunities for Next-Generation Manufacturing in Space. *IFAC-PapersOnLine.* 2022;55(7):963–8.
31. Rivera-Loaiza C, Wong-Villacres M. Global Plaza: Creating an inclusive and equitable space at high-level conferences. In: Proceedings of the 9th Mexican International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction [Internet]. Virtual Event Mexico: ACM; 2022. p. 1–4. Available from: <https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3565494.3565499>
32. Gimondi I, Tribello GA, Salvalaglio M. Building maps in collective variable space. *The Journal of Chemical Physics.* 2018 Sep 14;149(10):104104.
33. Bakaeva T. Collective space of high-rise housing complex. Safarik D, Tabunschikov Y, Murgul V, editors. *E3S Web Conf.* 2018;33:01019.
34. Jucker AH, Hausendorf H, Dürscheid C, Frick K, Hottiger C, Kesselheim W, et al. Doing space in face-to-face interaction and on interactive multimodal platforms. *Journal of Pragmatics.* 2018 Sep;134:85–101.
35. Loiseau J. Challenges of Development and the Role of Space. In: Al-Ekabi C, Ferretti S, editors. *Yearbook on Space Policy 2016* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018. p. 153–61. (Yearbook on Space Policy). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-72465-2_4